

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	-	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/026,999	03/05/93	TSUTSUI	Y	OPSCASE259
		•	SNYDER,	EXAMINER
•		B3M1/1103	ONTERS	ធ .
FLYNN, THIE	L. BOUTELL	·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2026 RAMBLI	•			3 ,
KALAMAZOO,	MI 49008		2313	·,
			DATE MAILED:	11/03/94
		harge of your application.	and the second second	
OMMISSIONER OF PAT	ENIS AND IMADER	MARINS		
in the second				•
	_		J. Ti.	<u> </u>
This application has be	en examined	Responsive to communication filed on		This action is made fi
A treatment			^	
		s action is set to expire mont e will cause the application to become abo		om the date of this letter.
The state of the s	• ••	• •	province: 50 0.0.0. 100	
n I THE FOLLOWING		ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	•	<b>₽</b>
		ura mo oco	N-4 of 06 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1. Notice of Refere	· •		Notice of Informal Pater	etent Drawing Review, PTO-
	ted by Applicant, PTC	og Changes, PTO-1474 6.	Notice of Informal Pater	n Application, PTO-152.
	THE OF PROOF PIGER	g Charges, 1 10-14/4.	<del>,                                     </del>	
rt II SUMMARY OF A	CTION			
		The second street in the second secon	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	
Claims				are pending in the applica
*				a and the all and a second and a second all a second
Of the above	, ciaims		an l	e withdrawn from consideration of the state
. Claims	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 · 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 4 4 4 .	
Ctairns				are allowed.
	• •			' /s
Claime			<u> </u>	iem rejected.
Claims		•	<u>.</u>	u are objected to.
			•	
. Claims			are subject to restrict	ion or election requirement.
This confication by	es been filed with infr	ormal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which	h are accentable for ever	nination numbers
. (2) This application he	TO DOO!! MIDD WITH HIM	ome diduite didei or on the 1.00 wind	II and procehipping to, and	imichori porpodos.
. D Formal drawings a	re required in respon	nse to this Office action.	•	
		ave been received on		<del>-</del>
	, ш погасовршва (	see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's	Letteur mawii <b>ri ma</b> asa'.	- 10 <del>-940).</del>
		sheet(s) of drawings, filed on	has (have) been	Dapproved by the
		miner (see explanation).		1
				44
ine proposed drav اسا .	wing correction, filled	, has been 🔲 a	pprovea; Liasapprove	и (вее ехріалавол).
2. Acknowledgement	Is made of the claim	for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The ce	rtifled copy has Deen	received. In not been received
		al no; filed on		3-
			_	
• •	*	condition for allowance except for formal	<u>-</u>	to the merits is closed in
accordance with the	e practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 21;	3.	
. Tother				

Serial Number: 08/026,999

Art Unit: 2313

1. This Office Action is in response to application 08/026,999 filed March 5, 1993.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 2 at lines 17 and 19, the word "bit" should be changed to "bits".

On page 6 at lines 6-7, a word or phrase is missing after the word "skipped".

Appropriate correction is required.

- 3. The drawings are objected to because in Figure 1, Box 3, the word "maximum" is misspelled. Correction is required.
- 4. The Abstract of the Disclosure is objected to because at line 2, a word or phrase is missing. Correction is required. See M.P.E.P. § 608.01(b).
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same

Serial Number: 08/026,999

Art Unit: 2313

person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Maruyama (US Patent 4,300,234) in view of Hart, Jr. (US Patent 3,751,649).
- 8. As to claim 1, Maruyama substantially teaches the claimed invention. Maruyama teaches an address pattern generator that is comprised of a column address generator and a row address generator and that these two address generating parts are identical in construction (Maruyama col. 4, lines 16-19). Maruyama also teaches that the column and row address generators each receive an address from an initial value register and also an add signal to increment the value from the initial value register (col. 3, line 56 to col. 4, line 16). Maruyama further teaches that both the column and row address generators also each receive boundary values, which are equivalent to the claimed maximum values (col. 6, lines 23-30). Maruyama does not explicitly teach comparing the address to be supplied to memory with the boundary value. However, Hart teaches an address

Serial Number: 08/026,999

Art Unit: 2313

pattern generating circuit in which the address to be supplied to the memory is compared to the highest memory address and that this comparison is sent to the control section which selects the appropriate output (Hart - col. 2, line 56 to col. 3, line 15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Maruyama and Hart because both inventions deal with address pattern generating circuits. The modification would further have been obvious because Maruyama does include a maximum value register and logically the purpose of entering such data into the address pattern generator is to ensure that the output address does not exceed the maximum address value of the memory.

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is 9. considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier 10. communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn Snyder whose telephone number is (703) 305-9688.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**GROUP 2300** 

November 3, 1994